The new Swiss Data Protection Act: How does it compare to the GDPR?

David Rosenthal October 14, 2020

We do it better in Switzerland!

- The new Swiss Data Protection Act (DPA) will most likely come into force in 2022
- Principle-based, not as detailed as the GDPR
- Governs both the processing by the private sector and Swiss Federal public authorities (here we will cover only the former)
- Bottom line: Compliance will not be too complicated to achieve if you already are GDPR-compliant
- I will speak about:
 - Conceptual differences with the GDPR to keep in mind
 - Situations where the DPA is less strict or stricter
 - Situations where the DPA is comparable



Which processing is permitted?

No changes needed

GDPR

- Basic processing principles must be complied with
 - Transparency, purpose limitation, fairness, data minimization, storage limitation, correctnes, data security
- A legal ground is necessary
 - Contract, legal obligation, consent, legitimate interest, etc.

- Same basic processing principles
- No legal ground required by default
 - A legal ground is only required if principles are not complied with, if sensitive data is disclosed to a third party or if the data subject objects
 - The DPA is less strict on legal grounds for sensitive personal data

Rules when obtaining consent?

No changes needed

GDPR

- Consent must be freely given, specific, informed and unambigous
- No pre-ticked boxes
- May not be included in a contract unless necessary for its performance
- Data subject has to be informed of his/her right to withdraw consent
- Withdrawal at any time, fall-back on legitimate interest may be difficult

- Must be freely given and informed
- Boxes may be pre-ticked on forms that contain an "acceptance" button
- May be included in a contract if there is a factual connection
- No information on right to withdraw required
- Withdrawal may be restricted in certain situations (e.g., related to costs)

Scope of Applicability

Verify scope of applicability

GDPR

- Processing of data about identified or identifiable individuals
- Automated processing and manual processing only if data is stored in a file
- · Household processing exception
- Applies outside EEA if individuals are
 - targeted within the EEA for products or services
 - tracked within the EEA

- Same definition of personal data
 - Legal entities no longer covered
- Any automated or manual processing of personal data (= broader scope)
- Exception for processing for personal purposes (private and business)
- Not applicable in legal proceedings
- Applicable if relevant activities, data subjects, the controller or processor are in Switzerland

Information Obligations

Privacy notice to be amended

GDPR

- Whenever personal data is collected, a privacy notice has to be provided to the data subject
- Art. 13 et seq. defines the minimum content of the privacy statement
- Also applies if personal data is collected from a third party source
- Very limited exceptions

- Similar information obligation whenever personal data is collected
- List of minimum information is shorter; information as per Art. 13 para. 2 GDPR necessary only under exceptional circumstances
- Broader exceptions (e.g., legal duty)
- But: Notice has to contain the list of countries to which personal data is transferred to and the legal grounds for transfers to unsafe countries



Right of Access

Provide for separate guidelines

GDPR

- Upon request, a controller shall provide the data subject
 - a copy of his/her personal data
 - certain ancillary information
- Data subject may ask for a copy
- Manifestly unfounded or excessive requests may be refused or a fee may be charged
- Exceptions available to protect third parties and business secrets

- Same concept, but
 - the list of ancillary information that can be requested is shorter
 - additional information on countries to which data is transferred and legal grounds
 - data subject may ask for other useful information
- Fees? Yet to be clarified
- Protection of business secrets weak



Other Data Subject Rights

No changes needed

GDPR

- Right of rectification
- Right to erasure/to be forgotten
- · Right to restriction
- Right to object
- Right to data portability
- Obligation to notify third parties of such rights being exercised

- The same data subjects rights also exist under the DPA
- The Swiss version of the "right to object" already includes the right to erasure and restriction; it can be overruled by an overriding private interest
- Very limited exceptions to the right to correct (legal obligation, archival purpose of public interest)
- No obligation to notify third parties

Controllers and Processors

Contracts to be amended

GDPR

- Art. 28 para. 3 GDPR specifies minimum content of data processing agreements
- Sub-processors require controller approval
- Art. 26 GDPR requires jointcontrollers to define their respective responsibilities in an agreement
- Limited liability of processors

- It adopts the concept of controllers and processors
- It does prescribe the content of a data processing agreement in the same level of detail, but it is to be drafted along same lines
 - Include references to the DPA and cover data exports correctly
- No express joint-controller duties
- Anyone participating in the violation of personality can be held liable



Data Protection Officer & Rep

No changes needed

GDPR

- Data Protection Officer (DPO) required if processing involves
 - Regular/systematic monitoring or
 - Special categories of data (many)
- GDPR defines its DPOs indepedency, status, tasks and other prerequites
- Foreign controllers and processors are to appoint a EU representative if certain thresholds are surpassed

- No obligation to appoint a DPO
- Swiss law provides for a similar role, a.k.a. the "Data Protection Advisor"
 - Prerequisites are comparable
 - Permitted to judge DPIAs instead of the data protection authority
- Foreign controllers require a Swiss representative if they target or track Swiss data subjects and perform a high-volume, high-risk processing

Data Security, Privacy by Design

No changes needed

GDPR

- Technical and organizational measures to ensure a level of data security appropriate to the risk
- Measures to ensure other aspects of compliance ("Privacy by Design")
- "Privacy by Default"
 - By default, personal data shall be limited to a minimum
 - No publication without approval by the data subject

- Same level of data security required under the DPA
- Similar duty on "Privacy by Design"
- "Privacy by Default"
 - By default, end-user privacy settings (if any) must be set to the least invasive option offered
 - Override possible by way of advance agreement



Automated Individual Decisions

No changes needed

GDPR

- Right not to be subject to automated indivual decisions or profiling that have legal or material negative effect
- Such decisions are allowed for concluding or performing contracts, where permitted by law or based on explicit consent, but come with
 - A right to human intervention
 - Information obligation

- Automated individual decisions are defined in the same manner, but do not include profiling
- No prohibition or right of objection
- Similar right to human intervention and information obligation, except where the decision has been taken
 - as per the data subject's request (e.g., online-shop), or
 - with the data subject's consent



Data Breach Notifications

Different process needed

GDPR

- Personal data breach = unplanned breach of confidentiality, integrity or availability of personal data
- Data breaches with a risk of negative consequences for data subjects need to be reported to the data protection authority within 72 hours
- Data subjects need to be informed if the breach poses a high risk
- Processors need to inform controller of any breach

- · Same definition of a "data breach"
- Same obligations for processors
- Reporting to the data protection authority only in cases of high risk
- No 72 hour deadline, no duty to keep records of data breaches
- A data subject need to be informed
 "if necessary for his/her protection"
- Exception in case of excessive costs

Data Export Rules

Separate, but similar process

GDPR

- Transfers to countries without an adequate level of data protection not allowed without safeguards or based on an exemption
- Adequacy determined by EC
- Standard contractual clauses (SCC) and Binding Corporate Rules (BCR) may serve as safeguards
- Exemptions are available inter alia for the performance of a contract, for legal proceedings or with consent

- Same concept
- Limitation only applies to transfers across the Swiss border
- Adequacy determined by Federal Council, will closely follow the EU
- EU SCC and BCR may, in principle, be used also for Switzerland
- Similar exemptions



Documentation Duties

Almost no changes needed

GDPR

- Records of processing activities
 - For controllers and processors
 - Defined content
- Principle of accountability
- Data Protection Impact Assessment
 - For likely high risk activities
 - Obligation to consult data protection authority if high risk remains despite all measures

- Same records of processing activities
 - List all countries and legal grounds
- Comparable obligation to perform a Data Protection Impact Assessment
 - Legal ground needs not to be covered
 - Internal DPOs as an alternative solution for consultation of the data protection authority
- No principle of accountability

Professional Secrecy

Personal criminal liability

GDPR

n/a

- Obligation of a professional to keep confidential
 - secret personal data learned while exercising his or her profession
 - provided the profession requires knowledge of such personal data
- Only intentional acts
- Protects customers; waivers possible, but not always needed
- Personal fine of up to CHF 250'000



Enforcement & Fines

Personal criminal liability

GDPR

- Data protection authorities may
 - Investigate processing activities
 - Issue orders to restrict, change or stop processing activities
 - Issue fines of up to EUR 10/20m or 2/4% of the annual turnover for violation of most GDPR provisions
- Local law may provide for additional fines

- Data protection authority may
 - Investigate processing activities
 - Issue orders to restrict, change or stop processing activities
- Cantonal authorities may
 - Issue fines against individuals of up to CHF 250'000 in case of intentional breach of certain DPA provisions or failure to cooperate with the data protection authority
 - No insurance/indemnification



Final words

- Data protection compliance will become more burdensome in Switzerland going forward
- Risk of personal criminal sanctions will cause individuals who are responsible for data protection compliance (and their advisors) to become more cautious than in the past
- Level of data protection will be similar as in the EEA, but expect to see a more pragmatic approach in Switzerland with regard to day-to-day compliance issues than in other countries
- The differences between the GDPR and the DPA will become relevant mainly for handling individual cases (e.g., disputes over the right of access)
- Nobody will ever be fully compliant this will not change

Register for updates of our **Data & Privacy Blogs** on <u>www.vischer.com</u>

Thank you for your attention!

Questions: drosenthal@vischer.com

Zürich

Schützengasse 1 Postfach 8021 Zürich, Schweiz T +41 58 211 34 00

www.vischer.com

Basel

Aeschenvorstadt 4 Postfach 4010 Basel, Schweiz T +41 58 211 33 00

Genf

Rue du Cloître 2-4 Postfach 1211 Genf 3, Schweiz T +41 58 211 35 00 If you wish to receive a copy of my detailed commentary on the new provisions, send me an e-mail (appears in November, in German).

Summary: https://bit.ly/36R5fMF